Teaching Assistant Carlos Algara

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA - DAVIS

Spring Quarter 2016 POL 104 (001) 58759

Student Evaluation of Teaching

| 1/2 | |
|--------|---------|
| | |
| Wing (| |
| 1 | LAVIS / |

| Enrollment 57 % responding 38 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | | | |
|--|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-----|-----|----|
| | 5 % | 4 % | 3 % | 2 % | 1 % | \bar{x} | SD | М | N |
| Please indicate the overall educational value of the course. (excellent very good satisfactory fair poor) | 8 36% | 7 32% | 5 23% | 0 0% | 2 9% | 3.9 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 22 |
| UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below | 2 11% | 5 26% | 9 47% | 2 11% | 1 5% | 3.3 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 19 |
| Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F | 2 10% | 11 52% | 7 33% | 0 0% | 1 5% | 3.6 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 21 |
| Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low | 5 23% | 11 50% | 6 27% | 0 0% | 0 0% | 4.0 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 22 |
| Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the teaching assistant. (excellent very good satisfactory fair poor) | 7 32% | 7 32% | 4 18% | 3 14% | 1 5% | 3.7 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 22 |
| TA demonstrates knowledge and command of the subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 8 50% | 4 25% | 1 6% | 2 13% | 1 6% | 4.0 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 16 |
| TA is well prepared for section. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 5 42% | 4 33% | 0 0% | 2 17% | 1 8% | 3.8 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 12 |
| TA is effective in encouraging student participation. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 4 44% | 2 22% | 0 0% | 2 22% | 1 11% | 3.7 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 9 |
| TA encourages students to express opinions and respects divergent points of view. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 6 60% | 1 10% | 0 0% | 2 20% | 1 10% | 3.9 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 10 |
| TA is responsive to questions and student requests. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 7 44% | 3 19% | 2 13% | 2 13% | 2 13% | 3.7 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 16 |
| TA explains and clarifies difficult material. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 6 50% | 0 0% | 1 8% | 2 17% | 3 25% | 3.3 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 12 |
| TA clearly defines expectations of student. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 6 38% | 3 19% | 0 0% | 4 25% | 3 19% | 3.3 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 16 |
| TA provides helpful comments on assignments. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 7 41% | 2 12% | 4 24% | 2 12% | 2 12% | 3.6 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 17 |
| TA helps the student appreciate course topics. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 4 36% | 2 18% | 1 9% | 1 9% | 3 27% | 3.3 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 11 |

TA is well prepared for section. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

There is section where the TA interacts with the students.

TA is responsive to questions and student requests. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

Attitude problem. Rude and condescending.

TA clearly defines expectations of student. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

very inconsistent with expectations for essays

| Term | Eval Opened | CRN | Subject | Course | Section | Enrollment | % Response |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------|---------|--------|---------|------------|------------|
| Spring Quarter 2016 | 5/26/2016 12:00 AM | 58759 | POL | 104 | 001 | 57 | 38 |