

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

very good (Value 4)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

3 (Value 3)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

5 (Value 5)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Additional Comments

Slow at some points, rushed in others, would like more rhythm

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Additional Comments

A lot of reading

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Additional Comments

Would like more opportunity for grade redemption

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

4 (Value 4)

Additional Comments

Fair tests, a little harsh grading

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

excellent (Value 5)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

3 (Value 3)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

5 (Value 5)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

very good (Value 4)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

skipped

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

skipped

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

skipped

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

skipped

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

skipped

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

skipped

Instructor's availability for consultation.

skipped

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

skipped

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

skipped

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

skipped

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

skipped

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

skipped

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

skipped

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

excellent (Value 5)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

4 (Value 4)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

3 (Value 3)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

very good (Value 4)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Additional Comments

Most often, the instructor stimulated discussion that was catered to students who were already knowledgeable about political science.

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Additional Comments

uQ

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Additional Comments

I thought the instructor asked too many questions throughout his lectures. I think questions should be asked after some sort of lecturing has been done already so that students have some sort of background knowledge to use as a foundation to their answers. So although questions are good, I think they need to be thought through better so that the instructor can stimulate his or her students' critical thinking more effectively.

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

4 (Value 4)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

excellent (Value 5)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

4 (Value 4)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

5 (Value 5)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

2 (Value 2)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

n/a

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

excellent (Value 5)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

2 (Value 2)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

3 (Value 3)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

very good (Value 4)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

4 (Value 4)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

very good (Value 4)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

2 (Value 2)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

2 (Value 2)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

4 (Value 4)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

very good (Value 4)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

4 (Value 4)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

n/a

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

3 (Value 3)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

very good (Value 4)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

1 (Value 1)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

3 (Value 3)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

3 (Value 3)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

satisfactory (Value 3)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

3 (Value 3)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

3 (Value 3)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

excellent (Value 5)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

5 (Value 5)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

5 (Value 5)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

1 (Value 1)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

excellent (Value 5)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

5 (Value 5)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

4 (Value 4)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

satisfactory (Value 3)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

3 (Value 3)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

5 (Value 5)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

fair (Value 2)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

1 (Value 1)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

1 (Value 1)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

satisfactory (Value 3)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

2 (Value 2)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

4 (Value 4)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

fair (Value 2)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

4 (Value 4)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Additional Comments

Difficult for me to find the concepts that I want to know on the website because notes are categorised based on class meeting dates and not on concepts.

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Additional Comments

Either too fast or a certain amount of background knowledge is required. This is my first political science class so I don't know anything regarding about it. I felt that most of the explanations given were a bit jumpy in the sense that I could not find the link or relationship.

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Additional Comments

Too much reading in a short period of time. Readings were vital but not all of the information in the readings were required. Please keep it concise.

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

1 (Value 1)

Additional Comments

I have never taken a political science class and exam before so I did not know what to expect for the graded exam. Instructor only gave a verbal description of it. It would be great if you can give a sample exam so that we can check it out or use it to prepare.

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

3 (Value 3)

Additional Comments

Comments given on graded exam was brief, no ideal answer key was given so I do not know what is the ideal answer structure I should work towards on. I have never taken a political science class or exam before so I don't know how to should write or present my answers so I was just writing down what I have studied without understanding of exactly answering the question.

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

1 (Value 1)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

satisfactory (Value 3)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

3 (Value 3)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

1 (Value 1)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

fair (Value 2)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

3 (Value 3)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

2 (Value 2)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Additional Comments

A lot of information to study in a short amount of time but overall good course.

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

excellent (Value 5)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

3 (Value 3)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1)

Very low

5 (Value 5)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

fair (Value 2)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

4 (Value 4)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

4 (Value 4)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

fair (Value 2)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

3 (Value 3)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

n/a

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

excellent (Value 5)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

4 (Value 4)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

1 (Value 1)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Additional Comments

Carlos knows his stuff!

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Additional Comments

Carlos strongly encouraged discussions. It made the class more interesting. I dreaded taking this class, but I needed it for GE requirements. Carlos made this class really interesting and I learned a lot from him.

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Additional Comments

Extremely well organized

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

very good (Value 4)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

5 (Value 5)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

5 (Value 5)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

4 (Value 4)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

satisfactory (Value 3)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

n/a

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

3 (Value 3)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

very good (Value 4)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

4 (Value 4)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

2 (Value 2)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Additional Comments

Instructor had a great deal of knowledge about the material. This, and his enthusiasm about the subject, made the material very accessible.

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Additional Comments

Layout of what we would cover, including articles and chapters as well as objectives, was very helpful.

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Additional Comments

Class presentations were almost always clear and interesting, the most difficult times were when we covered specific graphs (?) such as spatial model and the voting median filibuster model

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

excellent (Value 5)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

4 (Value 4)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

5 (Value 5)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

3 (Value 3)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

very good (Value 4)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

2 (Value 2)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

5 (Value 5)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

2 (Value 2)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

4 (Value 4)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

very good (Value 4)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

4 (Value 4)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

5 (Value 5)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

3 (Value 3)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Additional Comments

discussion based course design seems more interesting and effective than typical design used here at UCD consisting only of lectures

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Additional Comments

great links to scholarly articles

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

n/a

Additional Comments

There weren't term papers or projects, which was nice--it did not distract from the readings and from learning the material. This is especially important in short, quick-paced summer sessions.

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

satisfactory (Value 3)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

3 (Value 3)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

4 (Value 4)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

3 (Value 3)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

satisfactory (Value 3)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

2 (Value 2)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

n/a

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

2 (Value 2)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

2 (Value 2)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

excellent (Value 5)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

1 (Value 1)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

5 (Value 5)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

3 (Value 3)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

4 (Value 4)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Evaluation for Amer Natl Govt (POL 001) - Summer Session 1 2017

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.*

excellent (Value 5)

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

5 (Value 5)

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

5 (Value 5)

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

5 (Value 5)

The following responses apply to Carlos Algara (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.*

excellent (Value 5)

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Instructor's availability for consultation.

5 (Value 5)

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)

Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

n/a

Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

n/a

Fairness and impartiality of grading.

5 (Value 5)

Instructional value of examinations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5 (Value 5)